

IRP 2018 NEDLAC DRAFT

Dave Long
Secretary General

15th March, 2019



SAIPPA SUBMISSION – OCT 2018

Positives:

- * Clear and concise
- * Transparency on process and assumptions
- * Logical and defensible input assumptions
- * Least-Cost approach
- * Min. Radebe serious about IRP 2018

Concerns:

- Errors in estimate and assumptions
- Long delays in new IRP versions
- Need independent modelling - CSIR
- No encouragement for IPPs outside DOE
- Exports not addressed

IRP Planning and Uncertainty:

- * New generation - 5 years lead time
- * Why decades into future?
- * Regular replanning more valuable.
- * Flexibility
- * EAF of 80% unrealistic – major disruptor
- * Decommissioning PS – tool for balancing
- * Titles for technologies reduces flexibility
- * “Gas” a proxy for other technologies
- * Consider Dispatchability

Case for Cogeneration:

- * Determination of 1800MW
- * Sugar input assumptions from 2010 are wrong
- * Potential of 6259MW
- * Multiple benefits
- * Cogen a proxy for “Gas”

Cross Border Sales:

- Unchanged status quo assumed
- 5 000MW shortfall in SADC
- Growth opportunity for SA ESI
- Need export growth drive

Embedded Generation:

- * 200MW allowed for as a “Catch-all”
- * Categories limit flexibility – link to ERA licenses
- * IRP plan must recognise non-DOE investments:-
 - bilaterals
 - self generation
 - Roof top PV
 - Cross border sales
 - new technologies
 - Cogen beyond own-use
 - 2000MW recommended
 - New title of “Distributed Generation”

SAIPPA SUBMISSION – OCT 2018

Positives:

- * Multiple positive comments (ref 1)

Concerns:

- Errors in estimate and assumptions - Nil
- Long delays - “will be looked at” (ref 4)
- CSIR modelling - “will be looked at” (ref 4)
- No encouragement for IPPs outside DOE - Nil
- Exports not addressed - Nil

IRP Planning and Uncertainty:

- * New generation - 5 years lead time - Nil
- * Why decades into future? - Nil
- * Regular replanning more valuable. Nil
- * Flexibility - Nil
- * EAF of 80% - 71,5 to 84% (ref 24)
- * Decommissioning PS – many comments (ref23)
- * Titles – SAIPPA text quoted (ref 10, 11, 44)
- * “Gas” a proxy – comments accepted (ref 27)
- * Consider Dispatchability - Nil

Case for Cogeneration:

- * Min Det of 1800MW -"to be reviewed" (ref 5)
- * Sugar assumptions from 2010 are wrong - Nil
- * Potential of 6259MW - "utility scale" (ref 10)
- * Multiple benefits - Nil
- * Cogen a proxy for "Gas"

Cross Border Sales: - Nil

- Unchanged status quo assumed
- 5 000MW shortfall in SADC
- Growth opportunity for SA ESI
- Need export growth drive

Embedded Generation:

- 2000MW recommended - “to be reviewed and 500MW now included (ref 50 & 55)
- “Distributed Generation” - “noted” (ref 49)

New Issues:

- * the time it is taking
- * EAF still too high?
- * cogen and export issues not dealt with
- * Falling demand
- * Short term crisis – IRP 2010 Addendum

SAIPPA Work Groups

SAIPPA has 5 Work Groups that drive member's interests:

- EXPORTS – working with SAEP (USAid) on opening up markets.
- WHEELING -large cost implications for non-Eskom sales.
- SSEG and TECHNICAL – GCAC representation and embedded issues.
- MAJOR STAKEHOLDERS – focus on networking
- LOCAL SALES – focus on SA opportunities for IPP sales.